

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/28/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/608,896	06/23/2003	Neal W. Westendorf	12295.7USI1	7795
23552 7	590 04/28/2005		EXAM	INER
MERCHANT P.O. BOX 2903	& GOULD PC		UNDERWOOD, DONALD W	
	IS, MN 55402-0903		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3652	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/608,896	WESTENDORF ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Donald Underwood	3652				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01/21/05</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) none is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 13-16 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9,11 and 12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01/21/05</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO-Paper No(s)/Mail Date	48) Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Detailed Action

- 1. The drawing changes filed 01/21/05 have been approved.
- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 9, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

It is unclear how the cam is constructed and what structure the cam engages to cause the shoe area to compress against the shoe-receiving region.

Applicants' remarks regarding this rejection have been carefully considered but are not deemed persuasive. It appears to the examiner that the rotation of cam 320 would lift sides 322 and not spread them apart since the cam 320 when rotated would push against the lower ends of sides 322 causing them to rise pushing the bottom of slot 312 in 301 against pin 308 by pushing the bottom edge of 302 upward and not push side 322 against sides 324. Clarification is required.

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/608,896

Art Unit: 3652

- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirooka in view of Japanese reference 11-269907 or vice versa.

It would have been obvious to provide tower 9 in Hirooka with a pin and bracket 24 with a receiving surface and pin area and to size the tower to fit over the bracket in view of the teaching in the Japanese reference (figure 2). This would be a standard reversal of parts.

It would have also been obvious to provide a subframe and bracket and bracket in the Japanese reference in view of the teaching in Hirroka (elements 14 and 30) to enhance the toughness of the device in the Japanese reference.

Applicant's comments regarding this rejection have been carefully considered but are not deemed persuasive. Hirroka comprises a tower that fits into a bracket and the Japanese reference comprises a tower that fits over a bracket. One of ordinary skill in the art would view these arrangements and readily determine that the arrangement in the Japanese reference is an obvious reversal of the arrangement in Hirooka and could

Application/Control Number: 10/608,896 Page 4

Art Unit: 3652

be substituted therefore. As for providing a second bracket and subframe in the Japanese reference, i.e., the second rejection, this structure is taught by Hirroka and its use in the Japanese to enhance the toughness in the Japanese reference would be well

7. Claims 13-16 are allowed.

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

within the purview of one having ordinary skill in the art.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to D. Underwood at telephone number 571-272-6933.

Underwood/vs April 14, 2005 Edmuld W. Lunder 2000 04/25/65
PRIMARY EXAMINER